F/YR12/0276/F 10 April 2012

Applicant : Arthur Gee (Thorney) Ltd Agent : Mr R Swann

Swann Edwards Architecture

Land South Of Bank Farm House, Murrow Bank, Murrow, Cambridgeshire

Erection of 2 x 5-bed dwellings with attached garages and 1 x 5-bed dwelling with a detached garage

This proposal is before the Planning Committee due to the recommendation being at variance to the comments of the Parish Council.

This application is a minor application.

Site Area: 0.475 hectare

1. SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located on the eastern side of Murrow Bank lying adjacent to, but just beyond the established settlement of Murrow. The site is part of a larger agricultural field with an undefined boundary to the rear. Bank Farm House lies to the north of the site and is set back from Murrow Bank in line with rear boundary of the site as is Peartree House to the south. The boundaries with these properties are open, but the group of trees in the north west corner of the site and along the frontage will be retained. The site currently forms a gap between the established settlement to the north and a cluster of sporadic development to the south. There is a variety of dwelling styles in the vicinity of the site. Opposite the site to the west of Murrow Bank lies undeveloped The character of the site and immediate surroundings is farmland. undoubtedly countryside. The site lies within Flood Zone 3 and Murrow Bank is a Class B road.

HISTORY 2.

Of relevance to this proposal is:

F/YR07/1077/F Erection of 4 x 4 bed detached houses with

detached double garages, Land south of Bank

Farm House, Murrow - Refused 15/11/2007

Erection of 4 x 4 bed detached houses. Land south F/YR06/1046/F

of Bank Farm House, Murrow - Refused

23/10/2006

3. **CONSULTATIONS**

Parish Council:

We support this development and

recommend approval.

Local Highway Authority (CCC):

The following conditions are recommended:

Gates to be set back minimum of 5m and hung to be open inwards;

Vehicular accesses to be set out to CCC specification;

Parking and turning area to be provided and retained;

Temporary facilities shall be provided clear of the public highway for construction vehicles;

Existing access to Murrow Bank shall be permanently and effectively closed within 28 days of the bringing into use of the new access to plot 2;

A 1.8m wide footway shall be provided along the frontage of the development site to run from the existing to the north up to and including the paired accesses to plots 2 and 3. It shall be constructed to CCC specification and completed prior to first occupation of the development.

Environment Agency

Awaited

CCC Archaeology

Site lies in an area of high archaeological **Important** potential. archaeological remains survive on site and these would be damaged or destroved proposed by the development. The application area lays within a probable prehistoric through to Romano-British settlement area, defined by crop-marks. It is thought that these extend to within the current application area.

It is considered that the site should be subject to a programme of archaeological investigation and recommend that this work should be commissioned and undertaken at the expense of the developer. The standard condition is recommended

FDC Scientific Officer (Land At Contamination):

(Land Attach contaminated land condition

Local residents/interested parties:

One letter of objection has been received from the neighbour to the south of the site. The following points have been raised:

- The village does not require three large 5 bed houses of an executive nature.
- It is not a safe position to build large family homes where small children would be close to the main, busy road through the village.
- New homes that have been built in the village which are suitable for families are either on less busy side roads or built back from Murrow Bank.

4. **POLICY FRAMEWORK**

FDWLP Policy

E8 Proposals for new development should:

- allow for protection of site features;
- have regard to amenities of adjoining properties;
- provide adequate access.
- H3 To resist housing development outside DABs. To permit housing development inside DABs provided it does not conflict with other plan policies.
 - Outside the DAB new dwellings must be justified as required for agricultural, horticultural or forestry operations.
- E1 To resist development likely to detract from the Fenland landscape

Core Strategy (Draft Consultation) July 2011

H16

CS1 - Spatial Strategy, The Settlement Hierarchy and the Countryside

CS2 - Growth and Housing
CS10 - Rural Areas Development Policy:

New development in villages will be supported where it contributes to the sustainability of that settlement and does not harm the wide open character of the countryside. Any proposal will need to satisfy policies CS1 and

 Site is in or adjacent to the existing developed footprint of the village;

CS2 as well as specific criteria:

 Would not result in coalescence with neighbouring villages;

- Not have an adverse impact on the character of surrounding countryside and farmland;
- Proposal is of a scale in keeping with the shape and form of the settlement;
- The proposal will not adversely harm the settlements character and appearance;
- Site retains and respects natural boundaries;
- Not result in the loss of high grade agricultural land;
- Not put people or property in danger from known risks and would not result in unacceptable nuisances to residents and businesses.

CS14

 Delivering and Protecting High Quality Environments across the District.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Achieving sustainable Paras development and 11

2 - Planning law requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Para 14

Presumption in favour of sustainable development.

Core Principles

Para 17

 Always seek to secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants.

Conserving and Para 109 enhancing the natural environment

 The planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by: Minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains where possible.

5. **ASSESSMENT**

Nature of Application

This application seeks full planning permission for the erection of three dwellings.

The application is considered to raise the following key issues;

- Site history
- Principle and policy implications
- Design and Layout
- Other Matters.

Site History

In 2006 and 2007 applications were refused on this site for the erection of 4 dwellings as the site lies beyond the defined settlement of Murrow.

Principle and Policy Implications

The site is located on the edge of the main settlement of Murrow where development becomes sporadic. Originally settlement boundaries were put in place to prevent sprawl and unnecessary encroachment of development into the open countryside.

The NPPF seeks to promote sustainable development in rural areas where it will maintain the vitality of rural communities. This is the general thrust of policies contained within the Local Plan and Core Strategy where new development in villages will be supported where it contributes to the sustainability of the settlement and does not harm the wide, open character of the countryside.

The adopted policies of the Fenland District Wide Local Plan also require consideration as the application site lies beyond the established settlement where new development should be resisted (H3) unless justified.

In terms of emerging policy the general good practice criteria set out in Policy CS10 of the Draft Core Strategy should be observed. As this document is still emerging only limited weight can be attached to the policy at this time, however, it is important to note the direction of travel of the emerging core strategy and its conformity to the policies of the NPPF in terms of the approach to rural housing and the requirement for sustainable development which is sensitive to the defining characteristics of the local area.

Given that the site lies outside the established settlement, the proposal is contrary in principle to Policy H3 of the Local Plan and the thrust of the NPPF and emerging Core Strategy policies, which seek to provide sustainable development. Murrow is a village which has seen a considerable amount of growth in the recent past and as a result it is difficult to identify the core of the village. There is a danger that as the village has a predominantly linear form, new development will lead to the sprawl of the settlement which will be difficult to contain and as a result important undeveloped breaks in the residential frontage will be eroded.

It is acknowledged that Murrow has been identified as village which can accommodate growth of 2 to 3 dwellings in the emerging Core Strategy (CS1); however, the position of the site along Murrow Bank fails to contribute to the sustainability of the village given its situation and relationship to the amenities located within the established settlement. The CCC Highways response states that the provision of a footpath would be required to link with the existing footpath to the north. The fact that a footpath would be necessary should permission be granted further demonstrates the unsuitability of the location of the site and that it has to be "made" sustainable rather than lying in a naturally sustainable location.

Policy E1 of the Local Plan aims to protect the open character of the Fenland Landscape and it is considered that this site along with the open fields on the opposite side of the road mark an important gap which form a key feature of the edge of the village, which is characterised by the presence of a mix of agricultural land and sporadic groups or individual dwellings. The approval of dwellings in this location will see the loss of an important area of open land, which is classed high grade for agricultural purposes (Grade 2). The loss of high grade agricultural land is contrary to the emerging local plan policy CS10.

In order to be an acceptable sustainable form of development, the proposal must form a natural extension to the village and be in keeping with the shape and form of the settlement. The proposal whilst linear can be seen to be in keeping with the form of the village, however, the provision of large five bed dwellings will dwarf those nearby in terms of scale (see next section) and the proposal fails yet again to accord with the provisions of existing and emerging policy.

Design and Layout

The application is for full planning permission for three dwellings and detailed plans have been submitted to show large, 5 bed properties in spacious plots. Each has been individually designed and incorporates gym/office facilities. The style and scale of the proposed dwellings is not typical of the existing development in Murrow. Plots 1 and 3 have garages attached to the side of the properties and Plot 2 has a detached garage to the rear. In terms of comparison of the scale of the existing and proposed dwellings Plot 1 has a ground floor area (excluding the garage) of approx 203 square metres whilst Peartree House, to the south of the site which is a detached family house, has a ground floor area of 72 square metres. This equates to a 182% increase in ground floor area between the existing and proposed properties. Peartree House is typical of the scale of development in the area and Plot 1 is typical of the proposed plots. As a result it is difficult to see how the proposed dwellings can be seen to be of a scale in keeping with the shape and form of the settlement as required by policy.

It appears that the dwellings and plot sizes have been inflated in size to fit the site in an attempt to accord with the emerging policy of the Core Strategy. This indicates that small groups of two or three dwellings may be acceptable. In order to try and to comply with this policy the proposal fails to comply with the provisions of Policy CS10, which require the proposal to be of a scale in keeping with the shape and form of the settlement and not adversely harm the settlements character and appearance.

In terms of hard and soft landscaping there is additional landscaping depicted on the submitted plans, but specific details have not been provided as to the species and amount of planting. Gravel driveways are proposed with individual access to Plot 1 and a shared access point to Plots 2 and 3.

There is no detail on the submitted plans relating to the provision of the footpath along the front of the site, although the Design and Access Statement indicates a footpath will be provided. CCC Highways have indicated that the footpath is a necessary in order to ensure the scheme is acceptable in highway safety terms.

Other Matters

Wisbech St Mary Parish Council has commented in support of the application, however, has given no reasons for their support despite the proposal being a departure from the adopted Development Plan.

One letter of objection has been received from the neighbouring resident to the south of the site stating that the type of houses proposed are not needed in Murrow and the location is not ideal in terms of safety. These comments have been noted. Officers note that the positioning of the proposed dwellings in front of the existing dwellings to the north and south will result in a poor relationship in terms of residential amenity, especially between Plot 3 and Peartree House. A landscaping belt is proposed between the dwellings in order to try and improve the relationship between them, but until established the amenity of occupiers of the existing and proposed properties will be extremely poor with a total of 13 sets of glazing, including gym and bedroom windows/doors overlooking the approach and front elevation of Peartree House.

The safeguarding of residential amenity for existing and proposed occupiers is a core planning principle of the NPPF and should be given weight in the determination of this application. It is considered that the proposal will produce an environment which fails to provide a good standard of amenity for existing and future occupants of land and buildings and as a result the proposal is contrary to advice contained in the NPPF.

Conclusion

The site is located beyond the established settlement of Murrow in an area which is characterised as open countryside with sporadic residential development. The proposal adjoins the established built settlement, but does not comply with the adopted or emerging development strategy for the area nor does it contribute to the sustainability or vitality of the community.

The proposal is for development on high grade agricultural land and is not of a scale in keeping with the shape and form of the settlement. As a result the proposed dwellings will adversely harm the settlements character and appearance and would detract from the character and appearance of the local area and the visual amenities of the open countryside. The development is, therefore, contrary to E1 and E8 of the Fenland District Wide Local Plan, the policies contained within the emerging Core Strategy and the principles of the National Planning Policy Framework. For these reasons it is recommended that planning permission is refused.

6. **RECOMMENDATION**

Refuse

- 1. The proposal is positioned outside the established settlement boundary and fails to represent sustainable development, contrary to Policy H3 of the Fenland District Wide Local Plan and the general principles of the National Planning Policy Framework.
- 2. By virtue of the location of the proposal, within the countryside, the proposed dwellings would represent an incongruous feature which would detract from the open character of the landscape, contrary to Policy E1 of the Fenland District Wide Local Plan. Furthermore the positioning of the proposed dwellings in relation to existing development and the lack of landscaping is considered to give rise to a development which fails to provide a good standard of amenity for the future occupiers of the building. As a result the proposal is

contrary to the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework

3. The proposed development, which is located outside the established settlement boundary, will be situated within open countryside which forms the rural character of this part of the village and it is considered that the scale and form of development will be visually intrusive and will fail to assimilate into the rural landscape. As a result the proposal is contrary to the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework, Policies E1, H3 and H16 of the Fenland District-wide Local Plan and Policy CS10 of the emerging Core Strategy.



